

Lecture 14, 11.12.

(1)

A subset $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is called

a) centrally symmetric if $c \in C \Rightarrow -c \in C \quad \forall c \in C$

b) convex if $c, c' \in C \Rightarrow \{tc + (1-t)c' \mid 0 \leq t \leq 1\} \subseteq C \quad \forall c, c' \in C$.

Thm 6.18

Let Λ be a lattice. Suppose $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is convex and centrally symmetric and either

a) $\text{vol}(C) > 2^n d(\Lambda)$

b) $\text{vol}(C) = 2^n d(\Lambda)$ and C is compact

Then C contains a non-zero lattice point of Λ .

Proof: Assume a). It is enough to show that there are distinct $x_1, x_2 \in \Lambda$ with

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}C + x_1\right) \cap \left(\frac{1}{2}C + x_2\right) \neq \emptyset.$$

Namely, we then have $\frac{1}{2}c_1 + x_1 = \frac{1}{2}c_2 + x_2$

for some $c_1, c_2 \in C$, hence $\Lambda \ni x_1 - x_2 = \frac{1}{2}c_2 - \frac{1}{2}c_1 \in C$, where we use that C is convex and centrally symmetric.

So, suppose that all the sets $\frac{1}{2}C + x$, $x \in \Lambda$, would be pairwise disjoint.

Let ϕ be the fundamental domain of Λ . Then also all the sets $\phi \cap (\frac{1}{2}C + x)$, $x \in \Lambda$, are pairwise disjoint. Hence,

$$\text{vol}(\phi) \geq \sum_{x \in \Lambda} \text{vol}(\phi \cap (\frac{1}{2}C + x))$$

Translating $\phi \cap (\frac{1}{2}C + x)$ by $-x$ gives the set $(\phi - x) \cap \frac{1}{2}C$, and this has the same volume. Since the $\phi - x$, $x \in \Lambda$, cover all of \mathbb{R}^n (and thus of $\frac{1}{2}C$), we have

$$\text{vol}\left(\frac{1}{2}C\right) = \sum_{x \in \Lambda} \text{vol}\left((\phi - x) \cap \frac{1}{2}C\right)$$

Hence,

$$\text{vol}(\phi) \geq \sum_{x \in \Lambda} \text{vol}(\phi \cap (\frac{1}{2}C + x)) = \sum_{x \in \Lambda} \text{vol}((\phi - x) \cap \frac{1}{2}C) = \text{vol}(\frac{1}{2}C) = \frac{1}{2^n} \text{vol}(C) \downarrow \square$$

(2)

Case b). Take any sequence $(\epsilon_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $\epsilon_n > 0$, $\epsilon_n \geq \epsilon_{n+1}$, $\lim \epsilon_n = 0$.

$$\text{vol}((1 + \epsilon_n)C) > \text{vol}(C) = 2^n d(\Lambda)$$

Hence, by a), $(1 + \epsilon_n)C$ contains a non-zero lattice point

$$x_n \in \Lambda \cap (1 + \epsilon_n)C \subset \Lambda \cap (1 + \epsilon_1)C$$

Since C , and thus $(1 + \epsilon_1)C$, is compact, the sequence (x_n) contains a converging subsequence. By Cor 6.17, the limit x is a lattice point of Λ (non-zero since Λ discrete). We furthermore have

$$x \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (1 + \epsilon_n)C = C. \quad \square$$

6.7 Successive minima

The length of a shortest vector in Λ is only the first level of interesting information about Λ .

Def 6.19

Let $\lambda_1(\Lambda)$ be the norm of a shortest non-zero $v_1 \in \Lambda$.

Let $\lambda_2(\Lambda)$ "

" $v_2 \in \Lambda$ that is linearly indep from v_1 .

inductively $\lambda_i(\Lambda) \dots$

Can alternatively define this as

$$\lambda_i(\Lambda) := \min \left\{ \lambda > 0 \mid \exists v_1, \dots, v_i \in \Lambda \text{ } \mathbb{R}\text{-linearly independent and } \|v_j\| \leq \lambda \|v_j\| \right\}$$

We obviously have $\lambda_1(\Lambda) \leq \lambda_2(\Lambda) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n(\Lambda)$.

The $\lambda_i(\Lambda)$ are called the successive minima of Λ .

Lemma 6.20

There are linearly independent $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \Lambda$ such that $\|v_i\| = \lambda_i(\Lambda)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

For such vectors, if $x = \sum x_i v_i \in \Lambda$, $x_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\|x\| \geq \lambda_r(\Lambda)$, $r = \max \{i \mid x_i \neq 0\}$.

(3)

Proof:

We can inductively find such vectors.

Let $v \in \Lambda$ be any non-zero vector. By Cor 6.17 there are only finitely many lattice vectors w with $\|w\| \leq \|v\|$. Hence, there is $v_1 \in \Lambda$ with $\|v_1\| = \lambda_1(\Lambda)$.

Now assume v_1, \dots, v_i are linearly independent with $\|v_j\| = \lambda_j(\Lambda)$ for all $j=1, \dots, i$.

By definition, there are w_1, \dots, w_{i+1} linearly independent such that

$$\|w_j\| \leq \lambda_{i+1}(\Lambda) \text{ for all } j=1, \dots, i+1.$$

There must be some l such that v_1, \dots, v_i, w_l are linearly independent.

$$\text{We have } \|w_l\| \leq \lambda_{i+1}(\Lambda).$$

If $\|w_l\| = \lambda_{i+1}(\Lambda)$, we can take $v_{i+1} := w_l$ and are done.

So, suppose $\|w_l\| < \lambda_{i+1}(\Lambda)$.

Let r be minimal with $\lambda_r(\Lambda) \leq \|w_l\| < \lambda_{r+1}(\Lambda)$, so $r \leq i$.

Then v_1, \dots, v_r, w_l are $r+1$ linearly independent vectors with $\|w_l\| < \lambda_{r+1}(\Lambda)$ \Downarrow

Second claim: $x = x_r v_r + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} x_i v_i$ is linearly independent from v_1, \dots, v_{r-1} ,

$$\text{hence } \|x\| \geq \lambda_r(\Lambda). \quad \square$$

Recall from §6.6 that

$$\frac{\lambda_1(\Lambda)^n}{d(\Lambda)} \leq \sqrt{\gamma_n}^n, \quad \gamma_n \text{ the Hermite constant}$$

Now, we can even bound:

Thm 6.21 (Minkowski's second theorem)

$$\frac{\prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(\Lambda)}{d(\Lambda)} \leq \sqrt{\gamma_n}^n$$

Proof:

Let $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \Lambda$ be linearly independent with $\|v_i\| = \lambda_i(\Lambda)$ (exists by Lemma 6.20)

Let Q be the Gram matrix of λ . Using the quadratic supplement we can (4) write for $x = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i v_i$:

$$\|x\|^2 = Q(x) = z_1(x_1, \dots, x_n)^2 + \dots + z_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)^2,$$

where $z_i: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are linear in the x_i .

Define a new scalar product on \mathbb{R}^n with quadratic form

$$q := \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_i(\lambda)^2} z_i^2$$

So,

$$\|x\|_q^2 = q(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_i(\lambda)^2} z_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)^2$$

The Gram matrix Q_q of this form satisfies

$$\det Q_q = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_i(\lambda)^2} \det Q \Rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(\lambda) = \frac{\sqrt{\det Q}}{\sqrt{\det Q_q}}$$

Let Λ_q be the lattice associated to Q_q . Then by §6.6:

$$\frac{\lambda_1(\Lambda_q)^n}{\sqrt{\det Q_q}} = \frac{\lambda_1(\Lambda_q)^n}{d(\Lambda_q)} \leq \sqrt{\gamma_n}^n$$

If we can show that $\lambda_1(\Lambda_q) \geq 1$, then it follows that

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(\lambda) = \frac{\sqrt{\det Q}}{\sqrt{\det Q_q}} \leq \lambda_1(\Lambda_q)^n \cdot \frac{\sqrt{\det Q}}{\sqrt{\det Q_q}} \leq \sqrt{\gamma_n}^n \cdot \sqrt{\det Q} = \sqrt{\gamma_n}^n d(\lambda)$$

proving the claim.

For $x = \sum x_i v_i \in \lambda$ let $r = \max\{i \mid x_i \neq 0\}$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_q^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_i(\lambda)^2} z_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)^2 \geq \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{\lambda_i(\lambda)^2} z_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)^2 \geq \frac{1}{\lambda_r(\lambda)^2} \sum_{i=1}^r z_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda_r(\lambda)^2} \|x\|^2 \quad (\text{all terms for } i > r \text{ in the quadratic supplement vanish}) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we know from Lemma 6.20 that $\|x\|^2 \geq \lambda_r^2(\lambda)$

$$\Rightarrow \|x\|_q^2 \geq 1 \Rightarrow \lambda_1(\Lambda_q) \geq 1. \quad \square$$

6.8 Lattice reduction

(5)

We would like to find a basis of Λ consisting of short vectors

The (hypothetical) shortest basis b_1, \dots, b_n would satisfy $\|b_i\| = \lambda_i(\Lambda) \forall i = 1, \dots, n$.

However, such a basis does not exist in general (see Exercise 7.3)

The shortest possible bases that do exist are Minowski reduced bases, which are minima of the set \mathcal{B}_Λ of all bases wrt

$$(b_1, \dots, b_n) < (b'_1, \dots, b'_n) \text{ if } \|b_i\| = \|b'_i\| \forall i < j \text{ and } \|b_j\| < \|b'_j\|$$

Such bases are computable but not efficiently.

Here is what we can do more practically.

Lemma 6.22

If $x \in \Lambda$ with $\|x\| = \lambda_1(\Lambda)$, then there is a basis $x = b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n$ of Λ .

For the proof, we will use a general lemma about supplementing vectors to a basis, and for this, we first need another general lemma.

Lemma 6.23

For any $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ there is $T \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$(a_1, \dots, a_n) \cdot T = (g, 0, \dots, 0) \text{ where } g = \gcd(a_1, \dots, a_n).$$

Proof:

By induction on n .

$n=1$: is obvious

$n=2$: this comes from extended Euclidean algorithm. Namely, set $x_0 := a_1, x_1 := a_2$.

In each step of the algorithm we compute $x_{i-1} = q_i x_i + r_i$.

$$\text{Set } U_i := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -q_i \end{pmatrix}, A_n := (x_0, x_1), A_{i+1} = A_i T_i = (x_i, x_{i-1} - q_i x_i)$$

Then we arrive eventually at $(g, 0)$.

⑥

$n > 2$: Assume there is $U \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ with $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \cdot U = (g, 0, \dots, 0)$,

$g = \gcd(a_1, \dots, a_n)$. Let

$$\tilde{U} := \left(\begin{array}{c|c} U & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \in GL_{n+1}(\mathbb{Z})$$

Then

$$(a_1, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1}) \tilde{U} = (g, 0, \dots, 0, a_{n+1})$$

By the $n=2$ case there is $\begin{pmatrix} u & x \\ v & y \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with

$$(g, a_{n+1}) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} u & x \\ v & y \end{pmatrix} = (\tilde{g}, 0),$$

where

$$\tilde{g} = \gcd(g, a_{n+1}) = \gcd(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1})$$

Hence with

$$T := \tilde{U} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 & \dots & 0 & x \\ 0 & & & & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ 0 & & & & 0 \\ v & 0 & \dots & 0 & y \end{pmatrix}$$

the claim holds.

□