

Lecture 3, 4.11.

(1)

Last time:

$f \in K[X]$ irreducible \rightsquigarrow extension field $L := K[X]/(f)$ of K (stem field)

$f \in K[X] \rightsquigarrow$ splitting field

Both constructive (if K is)

f called separable if all roots of f in a splitting field are distinct.

Proved: if f irred and $\text{char } K = 0 \Rightarrow f$ separable.

Def: ^{2.19}

$K \subseteq L$ is called:

• finite if $\dim_K L < \infty$.

• algebraic if each $\alpha \in L$ algebraic over $K \forall \alpha \in L$

• separable if algebraic and p_α separable $\forall \alpha \in L$.

\uparrow always true if $\text{char } K = 0!$

Lemma: ^{2.20}

$K \subseteq L$ finite \Rightarrow algebraic

Proof:

Let $\alpha \in L$. The powers $1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \dots$ must eventually become linear dependent

$\Rightarrow \alpha$ algebraic. \square

Lemma 2.21 Let $K \subseteq L$ and let $L \subseteq M$ be a finite separable extension, $n = \dim_L M$. (2)
 Let $\Omega \supseteq M$ be algebraically closed. Then any K -morphism $\tau: L \rightarrow \Omega$ extends in precisely n ways to a K -morphism $\sigma: M \rightarrow \Omega$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \Omega \\ \uparrow & \nearrow \tau & \\ L & & \end{array}$$

Proof: By induction on n . Case $n=1$ clear. Let $n > 1$, choose $\alpha \in M, \alpha \notin L$.
 Let $f := \mu_{\alpha, L}$, $r := \deg f$. Consider $L \subset L(\alpha) \subseteq M$.

Have $\dim_L L(\alpha) = r$, $\dim_{L(\alpha)} M = \frac{n}{r}$. Let $\tau: L \rightarrow \Omega$ be a morphism.

For any extension $\sigma: M \rightarrow \Omega$ have

$$f(\alpha) = 0 \Rightarrow \tau(f)(\sigma(\alpha)) = 0$$

so σ maps roots of f to roots of $\tau(f)$. For any root β of $\tau(f)$ in Ω get an extension

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L(\alpha) & \longrightarrow & \Omega \\ b_0 + b_1 \alpha + \dots + b_{r-1} \alpha^{r-1} & \longmapsto & \tau(b_0) + \tau(b_1) \beta + \dots + \tau(b_{r-1}) \beta^{r-1} \end{array}$$

Since f separable, also $\tau(f)$ separable $\Rightarrow \deg f = \dim_L L(\alpha)$ choices for β
 $\Rightarrow \dim_L L(\alpha)$ extensions of τ to $L(\alpha)$.

By induction, each extension $\sigma: L(\alpha) \rightarrow \Omega$ extends in precisely $\frac{n}{r}$ ways to $M \rightarrow \Omega$. \Rightarrow claim. \square

Ex: 2.22 Consider $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(i)$. There are precisely $2 = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}(i)$ extensions of $\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ to $\mathbb{Q}(i) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, namely $i \mapsto i$ and $i \mapsto -i$.

Recall: $f \in K[X] \rightsquigarrow \text{Gal}_K(f) = \text{Gal}_K(\text{splitting field of } f)$.

Every $\sigma \in \text{Gal}_K(f)$ permutes the roots of f .

↓ skipped this part ③

Def:

Roots α, β of f are called conjugate if $\sigma(\alpha) = \beta$ for some $\sigma \in \text{Gal}_K(f)$.

Ex:

The splitting field of $f = X^2 + 1 \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ is $\mathbb{Q}(i)$. The two roots of f are i and $-i$. The map sending i to $-i$ is an automorphism $\Rightarrow i$ and $-i$ are conjugate.

Lemma:

If f is irreducible, all roots are conjugate.

Proof:

Let L be a splitting field of f .

Have $L = K(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$ with α_i the roots of f .

Let α, β be two such roots.

Both $K(\alpha)$ and $K(\beta)$ are stem fields of f

$\Rightarrow \exists$ isomorphism $\tau: K(\alpha) \rightarrow K(\beta) \hookrightarrow L$ mapping α to β

Can inductively extend this to a morphism $\sigma: L \rightarrow L$. This is an isomorphism.

□

§2.5 Primitive elements

Theorem^{2.23} (Primitive element theorem)

If $K \subseteq L$ finite and separable, then $L = K(\alpha)$ for some α .

Proof (Sketch)

$K \subseteq L$ finite $\Rightarrow L = K(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$. Can assume wlog that $n=2$ and show that $K(\beta, \gamma) = K(\alpha)$ for some α . (4)

Let L be the splitting field of $p_\beta \cdot p_\gamma$. Let $\beta = \beta_1, \dots, \beta_r$ be the roots of p_β in L and $\gamma = \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s$ be the roots of p_γ in L .

Since p_γ is separable, $\gamma_j \neq \gamma$ $\forall j > 1$. Hence, for $j > 1$ the equation

$$\beta_i + X\gamma_j = \beta + X\gamma \Leftrightarrow X(\gamma - \gamma_j) = \beta_i - \beta$$

has exactly one solution, namely $X = \frac{\beta_i - \beta}{\gamma - \gamma_j}$

If K is infinite, there is $c \in K$ different from all these solutions.

Let

$$\alpha := \beta + c\gamma.$$

Can now show that $K(\beta, \gamma) = K(\alpha)$.

(More details in e.g. Gathmann, Algebra. Also works for K finite.) □

Remark:^{2.24}

The proof is constructive!

Def:^{2.25}

A number field L is a finite extension of \mathbb{Q} .

These are the extension fields we will mostly be concerned with.

By the theorem

$$L = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) \simeq \mathbb{Q}[X]/(p_\alpha), \text{ a skew field, constructive!}$$

§ 2.6 Characteristic polynomial, norm, trace.

(5)

Recall that for an $n \times n$ matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ over a commutative ring R :

$$\text{Tr}(A) := \sum_i a_{ii} \quad \text{trace}$$

$$\det(A) := \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) a_{1\sigma(1)} \cdots a_{n\sigma(n)} \quad \text{determinant}$$

$$\chi_A(X) := \det(\underbrace{XI_n - A}_{\text{matrix over polynomial ring } R[X]}) \quad \text{characteristic polynomial of } A$$

Lemma 2.26

$$\chi_A(X) = X^n - \text{Tr}(A)X^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^n \det(A), \text{ in particular } \chi_A \text{ monic, } \deg \chi_A = n.$$

Proof: Left as exercise.

Theorem ^{2.27} (Cayley-Hamilton): $\chi_A(A) = 0$. □

Proof (sketch): For any i, j let m_{ij} be the (i, j) minor of A i.e. the determinant of the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix obtained from A by deleting the i -th row and j -th column of A .

Let $\text{adj}(A) := ((-1)^{i+j} m_{ji})$, the adjugate of A . Can show that

$$A \cdot \text{adj}(A) = \det(A)I.$$

Hence

$$(XI_n - A) \cdot \text{adj}(XI_n - A) = \det(XI_n - A)I_n = \chi_A(X)I_n$$

Plugging in A yields $0 = \chi_A(A)I_n \Rightarrow \chi_A(A) = 0$. □

Tr, \det, χ_A unchanged when replacing A by UAU^{-1}

⑥

So, if α endomorphism of a finite-dim vector space, can define

$$\text{Tr}(\alpha) := \text{Tr}(A), \quad \det(\alpha) = \det(A), \quad \chi_\alpha = \chi_A$$

for a matrix A of α wrt any basis

Now, $K \subseteq L$ finite field extension. Every $\alpha \in L$ defines an endomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_L : L &\rightarrow L \\ x &\mapsto \alpha x. \end{aligned}$$

Def: 2.28

$$\text{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha) := \text{Tr}(\alpha_L)$$

$$N_{L/K}(\alpha) := \det(\alpha_L)$$

$$\chi_{L/K, \alpha} := \chi_{\alpha_L}$$

} all constructive (linear algebra)

Lemma: 2.29

$\text{Tr}_{L/K}$ is additive, $N_{L/K}$ is multiplicative. □

Ex: 2.30

Consider $\mathbb{D} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(i)$. Basis is $\{1, i\}$. Let $\alpha = a + bi$.

Multiplication

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \cdot 1 &= a + bi \\ \alpha \cdot i &= -b + ai \end{aligned} \quad \leadsto \text{matrix of } \alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(i)/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = 2a = 2\text{Re}(\alpha), \quad N_{\mathbb{Q}(i)/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = a^2 + b^2 = |\alpha|^2.$$

Prop 2.31

(7)

$$\chi_{\alpha, L/K} = p_{\alpha, K}^m, \quad m = \dim_{K(\alpha)} L$$

Proof: First, suppose $L = K(\alpha)$. Have.

$$\deg p_{\alpha} = \dim_K K(\alpha) = \dim_K L = \deg \chi_{\alpha}$$

Since $\chi_{\alpha}(\alpha) = 0$ by Cayley-Hamilton $\Rightarrow p_{\alpha} = \chi_{\alpha}$.

Now, general case: Let β_1, \dots, β_n be a K -basis of $K(\alpha)$, and let $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m$ be a $K(\alpha)$ -basis of L . Then $\{\beta_i \gamma_k\}_{i,k}$ is a K -basis of L . Can write

$$\alpha \beta_i = \sum_j a_{ji} \beta_j, \quad a_{ji} \in K$$

This gives multiplication by α on $K(\alpha)$. Hence, setting $A := (a_{ij})$ we have $\chi_A = p_{\alpha}$ by first case above.

$$\text{Have } \alpha(\beta_i \gamma_k) = (\alpha \beta_i) \gamma_k = \sum_j (a_{ji} \beta_j) \gamma_k = \sum_j a_{ji} (\beta_j \gamma_k)$$

\leadsto matrix of mult by α on $L = \begin{pmatrix} A & & \\ & A & \\ & & \ddots \\ & & & A \end{pmatrix}$, $m = \dim_{K(\alpha)} L$ blocks

$$\leadsto \chi_{\alpha} = \chi_A^m = p_{\alpha}^m.$$

□

Cor^{2.32}: Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be the roots of p_α in a splitting field. Then (8)

$$Tr_{L/K}(\alpha) = m \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i, \quad N_{L/K}(\alpha) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \right)^m$$

where $m = \dim_{K(\alpha)} L$.

Proof: Let

$$p_\alpha = X^n + a_1 X + \dots + a_n = \prod (X - \alpha_i)$$

Then $a_1 = -\sum_i \alpha_i$ and $a_n = (-1)^n \prod \alpha_i$.

By Prop 2.31 have

$$p_\alpha^m = X^{mn} + m a_1 X^{mn-1} + \dots + a_n^m$$

Hence by Lemma 2.26 have

$$Tr_{L/K}(\alpha) = -m a_1 = m \sum_i \alpha_i$$

$$N_{L/K}(\alpha) = (-1)^{mn} a_n^m = \left(\prod_i \alpha_i \right)^m.$$

□

Cor^{2.33}:

If $K \subseteq L$ is separable and $\Omega \supseteq K$ is algebraically closed, then

$$Tr_{L/K}(\alpha) = \sum_{\sigma} \sigma(\alpha), \quad N_{L/K}(\alpha) = \prod_{\sigma} \sigma(\alpha)$$

where σ runs through the K -morphisms $L \rightarrow \Omega$.

Proof: First suppose $L = K(\alpha)$. This is a stem field of p_α , so for every root β of p_α in Ω get a morphism $L \rightarrow \Omega$, and these are precisely the morphisms, so
$$V_\alpha = \prod_{\sigma} (X - \sigma\alpha).$$

Now general case. By Lemma 2.21 each $\tau: K(\alpha) \rightarrow L$ extends in precisely $\dim_{K(\alpha)} L = m$ ways to $\sigma: L \rightarrow \Omega$, mapping α to the roots of p_α . So, in $\{\sigma\alpha\}_\sigma$ each root of p_α occurs precisely m times. □

^{2.34}
Ex:

Consider $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(i)$. The two morphisms $\mathbb{Q}(i) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are

$$\sigma_1: a+bi \mapsto a+ib$$

$$\sigma_2: a+bi \mapsto a-ib$$

Hence,

$$\text{Tr}(\alpha) = \underbrace{a+ib}_{\sigma_1} + a-ib = 2a = 2\text{Re}(\alpha)$$

$$N(\alpha) = (a+ib)(a-ib) = a^2 + b^2 = |\alpha|^2.$$

2.7 Trace form and discriminant

Let V be a finite-dim K -vector space and let $\gamma: V \times V \rightarrow K$ be a symmetric bilinear form.

Consider the map

$$V \longrightarrow V^* := \text{Hom}_K(V, K)$$

$$v \longmapsto (w \mapsto \gamma(v, w))$$

Def 2.35 γ is called non-degenerate if this is an isomorphism.

This can be decided as follows

Def 2.36 The Gram matrix of φ wrt a basis v_1, \dots, v_n of V is

(10)

$$Gr_{\varphi}(v_1, \dots, v_n) := (\varphi(v_i, v_j))_{ij}$$

If w_1, \dots, w_n is another basis and $w_j = \sum_i a_{ij} v_i$, then

$$\varphi(w_k, w_l) = \sum_{i,j} a_{ki} \varphi(v_i, v_j) a_{lj}$$

So

$$Gr_{\varphi}(w_1, \dots, w_n) = A \cdot Gr_{\varphi}(v_1, \dots, v_n) A^t.$$

Def 2.37

The discriminant of φ wrt v_1, \dots, v_n is

$$d_{\varphi}(v_1, \dots, v_n) := \det Gr_{\varphi}(v_1, \dots, v_n)$$

We have

$$d_{\varphi}(w_1, \dots, w_n) = \det(A)^2 d_{\varphi}(v_1, \dots, v_n)$$

Lemma 2.38

TFAE:

a) φ is non-degenerate.

b) $d_{\varphi} \neq 0$ wrt one (hence any) basis

Proof: Left as exercise. \square

Now, let $K \subseteq L$ be a finite extension.

Def 2.39

The trace form of L over K is the symmetric L -linear form

$L \times L \rightarrow K$ defined by

$$(\alpha, \beta)_{LK} := \text{Tr}_{LK}(\alpha \cdot \beta)$$

The discriminant of $K \subseteq L$ wrt a basis $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ of L is

(11)

$$d_{L/K}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) := d_{\text{Tr}_{L/K}}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \det((\alpha_i, \alpha_j)_{L/K})$$

Ex 2.40

Consider $\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{Q}(i)$ with basis $\{1, i\}$. Then

$$G_{\text{Tr}_{L/K}} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Tr}(1 \cdot 1) & \text{Tr}(1 \cdot i) \\ \text{Tr}(i \cdot 1) & \text{Tr}(i \cdot i) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\leadsto d_{L/K} = -4.$$

Lemma 2.41

If $K \subseteq L$ is separable, then

$$d_{L/K}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \det((\sigma_i \alpha_j))^2,$$

where the σ_i are the K -morphisms $L \rightarrow \Omega$, $\Omega \supseteq K$ algebraically closed.

Proof: By Cor 2.33 we have

$$\text{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha_i \alpha_j) = \sum_k \sigma_k(\alpha_i \alpha_j) = \sum_k \sigma_k(\alpha_i) \sigma_k(\alpha_j)$$

\Rightarrow The matrix $(\text{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha_i \alpha_j))$ is the product of $(\sigma_k(\alpha_i))^t$ and $(\sigma_k(\alpha_j))$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{so } d_{L/K}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) &= \det(\text{Tr}(\alpha_i \alpha_j)) = \det((\sigma_k \alpha_i)^t) \cdot \det((\sigma_k \alpha_j)) \\ &= \det((\sigma_k \alpha_i))^2. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$