## Lecture 8, 2011 Another really useful fact: 4.11 Corr: Let V be a f.g. free Z-module and USV a submodule of the same rank. Then V(U is finite and $\begin{bmatrix} V:U]:= |V|U| = |det(A)|$ , where A is the matrix of U = V in some bases of U and V Prest: By Smith normal form there are U,V st. $U = \begin{pmatrix} Dr \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , Smith normal form, $D_r = diag(S_1, ..., S_r)$ . The $V_{U} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} Z_{i}'(S_{i}) \Longrightarrow |V/U| = \prod_{i=1}^{r} S_{i}$ . Also, since $U, V \in GL(Z) \rightarrow del(U), del(Y) = t I$ , so $|det(A)| = |ded(U) del(A) det(Y)| = \prod_{i=1}^{r} S_{i}$ .

 $(\overline{1})$ 

5. Finding on inkgral Lasrs  
Throughout, L a number field, 
$$n = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} L$$
.  
5. 1 Orders, discriminants, a sufficient condition  
Lemma:  $L = \mathbb{D}(\alpha)$  for some  $\alpha \in G_L$ .  
Proof:  
Know from Lemma 3.31: every act is of the form  $\alpha = \frac{s}{r}$  with  $s \in G_L$   
and  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ .  $\longrightarrow L = \mathbb{Q} \cdot G_L \cdot Hence, if  $G_L = \mathbb{Z} \cdot \{\alpha_n, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ , then  
 $L = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha_n, \dots, \alpha_n)$ . By the proof of the primitive element theorem,  
can replace  $\alpha_{\Lambda, \alpha_L}$  by  $\alpha_{\Lambda 2} = \alpha_{\Lambda} + C\alpha_{L}$  for  $c \in \mathbb{Q}$  away from  
finitely many numbers. Can thus choose  $c \in \mathbb{Z} - \infty \alpha_{12} \in G_L$   
Inductively,  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ ,  $\alpha \in G_L$ .$ 

(2)

So, assume from now on that

Then 
$$\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] \subseteq G_{\mathcal{L}}$$
.  
Note:  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$  has  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis  $1, \alpha, ..., \alpha^{n-1} = 3 \dim_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = n = \dim_{\mathbb{Z}} G_{\mathcal{L}}$   
Is  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = G_{\mathcal{L}}$ ? Would be excellent!  
But: not true in general, see Exercise 4.2.  
How far away an we? By Cor 4.11,  $[G_{\mathcal{L}} : \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]]$  is finite.  
Let's look at this closer.

(3)  
Del<sup>5,2</sup>  
An order in L is a subsing G of L duck is a briefly general  
Z-module and dim<sub>Z</sub>G=dim<sub>Q</sub>L (
$$\Leftrightarrow$$
Q(G)=L).  
Obviously, Z[x] and G<sub>L</sub> are orders.  
Z[v] is called the equation order.  
Since an order G is a 1.5 Z-module  $\Rightarrow$  G is inksral over Z by The 319  
 $\Rightarrow$  G = G<sub>L</sub>  
 $\Rightarrow$  G<sub>L</sub> is the maximal order.  
Let  $\beta_{13,...}\beta_{16}$  be a Z-basis of G. Then this is also a Q-basis of L  
and we have  
 $d_{L10}(\beta_{13,...}\beta_{n}) \in \mathbb{Z}$   
by Cos 234.  
If Yarming is another basis of G, then by §2.7  
 $d_{L10}(\beta_{13,...}\beta_{n}) = dt(u)^{2} d_{L100}(\beta_{13,...}\beta_{n})$   
where U is the base dang matrix. But Ue GLn(Z), so  
 $d_{L10}(\beta_{13,...}\beta_{n}) = dug(\beta_{13,...}\beta_{n})$ .  
 $\frac{53}{2L_{10}(\beta_{13,...}\beta_{n})} = dug(\beta_{13,...}\beta_{n})$ .  
 $\frac{53}{2L_{10}(\beta_{13,...}\beta_{n})} = dug(\beta_{13,...}\beta_{n})$ .

$$\frac{Prop}{Prop} \stackrel{54}{:} Let G \subset L be an order. Then
$$d_{G} = \left[G_{L}:G\right]^{2} d_{L}$$

$$\frac{Proof}{:} Let A be the matrix of G \subset G_{L} in some bases. Then
$$d_{G} = def(A)^{2} d_{L}$$
by §2.7.  
By Gor 4.11, |def(A)| = [G_{L}:G].  

$$\frac{Cor}{S:S} G = G_{L} \quad \text{iff} \quad d_{G} = d_{L}.$$

$$\frac{S:G}{Cor} \quad \text{if} \quad d_{G} \text{ is square-free, then } G = G_{L}.$$

$$\frac{S:7}{Cor} \quad \text{if} \quad d_{ZIX} \text{ is square-free, then } \overline{Z[A]} = G_{L}.$$$$$$

5.2 Discrimingnet of an equation order  
Recall from Exercise 3.4 that Galois conjugate of a:  

$$d_{\overline{Z}[\alpha]} = d_{U(\alpha)} (1, \alpha, ..., \alpha^{n-1}) = \frac{1}{1!} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)^2 = (-1)^{n(n-1)/2} \frac{1}{i!} (\overline{(I}, (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)))$$

$$= (-1)^{n(n-1)/2} \frac{1}{1!} p_{\alpha}^{(1)} (\alpha_j) = (-1)^{n(n-1)/2} N_{U(\alpha)} (p_{\alpha}^{(1)} (\alpha_j)).$$
There is away to compute this just from the coefficients of  $p_{\alpha}$  without knowing the Galois conjugates.  

$$\underline{Del_i}: \text{Let } R \text{ be a commutative nig. Let } m_{i=2}^{i=2} a_{n-i} \chi^i, q = \sum_{j=0}^{j=2} b_{n-j} \chi^j \in RIXJ$$
with  $\alpha_0 b_0 \neq 0$ . The resultant  $Re_j(R_1q)$  is the decominant of the Sylveytor matrix



 $\frac{\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{59}{6}}{\frac{1}{2}} \int f(x) = 0 = g(x) \text{ for some } x \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ then } \operatorname{Res}(f_{1}g) = 0.$   $\frac{\operatorname{Proof}_{:} \text{ We have}}{\operatorname{Syl}(f_{1}g)} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \chi^{n+m-1} \\ \chi^{n+m-2} \\ i \\ \chi^{n} \\ \chi^{n-1} \\ i \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f \cdot \chi^{m-1} \\ f \cdot \chi^{m-2} \\ i \\ g \cdot \chi \end{pmatrix}$   $\frac{f \cdot \chi^{m-1}}{\operatorname{Syl}(f_{1}g)} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \chi^{n+m-1} \\ \chi^{n+m-2} \\ i \\ \chi^{n-1} \\ i \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f \cdot \chi^{m-1} \\ f \cdot \chi^{m-1} \\ f \cdot \chi^{m-2} \\ i \\ g \cdot \chi \end{pmatrix}$ 

Plugging in X=x shows that Syllfig) has non-brivial Respect => det Syllfig)=C  
Proper Let K be a kield and  

$$f = a_0 \overline{11} (X - x_0), \quad g := b_0 \overline{11} (X - \beta_0).$$
  
Then  $\operatorname{Res}(f,g)_2 = a_0^m \overline{11} g(\alpha_0) = a_0^m b_0^m \overline{11} \overline{11} (\alpha_0 - \beta_0).$   
 $\overline{Proof}.$  Let  $\operatorname{R:=WIX}_Y$  and let  $\operatorname{Res}(Y) := \operatorname{Res}(f,g-Y) \in \operatorname{KEYJ}.$   
When plugging in an element yelk for Y we get  
 $\operatorname{Res}(g) = \operatorname{Res}(f,g-g) \in \operatorname{K}$ 

Let  $Y_i := g(\alpha_i) \in \mathbb{K}$ . Then  $\operatorname{Res}(Y_i) = \operatorname{Res}(f, g - Y_i)$ Now, f, g-Y:  $\in \operatorname{RTKJ}$  have a common 200, namely  $\alpha_i$ :

D

$$\frac{\mathcal{E}_{X:}^{S,B}}{\mathcal{F}_{X}} \left[ e^{\frac{1}{2}} \alpha be \ a \ root \ of \ f:=X^{3}-X-1. \right]$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{E}_{X:}^{S,B}}{\mathcal{F}_{X}} \left[ e^{\frac{1}{2}} \alpha d \right] = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 3 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{R}_{X:}(f,f') = def Sy((f,f') = \dots = 23)}{\mathcal{R}_{X:X}} - 1 disc_{\overline{Z}[X]} = (-1)^{3\cdot(3-1)/2} \cdot 23 = -23.$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{R}_{X:}}{\mathcal{R}_{X:X}} \left[ \frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} - \frac{free}{Free}, hence \ G_{L} = \overline{\mathcal{R}_{X}} \left[ \frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{R}_{X}} \right] \frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} \left[ \frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} - \frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} \right]$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} \left[ \frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} - \frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} \right] \frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} \left[ \frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} - \frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} \right]$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} \left[ \frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} - \frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} \right] \frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} \left[ \frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} - \frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} \right]$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} \left[ \frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} - \frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} \right] \frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} \left[ \frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} + \frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} \right]$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} \left[ \frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} + \frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} \right] \frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} \left[ \frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} + \frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} \right]$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} \left[ \frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} + \frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} \right] \frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} \left[ \frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} + \frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} \right]$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} \left[ \frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} + \frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} \right] \frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} \left[ \frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} + \frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} \right]$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} \left[ \frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} + \frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} \right] \frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} \left[ \frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} + \frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} \right]$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} \left[ \frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} + \frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} \right] \frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} \left[ \frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} + \frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} \right]$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} \left[ \frac{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}}{\mathcal{L}_{X:X}} + \frac{\mathcal{L}_$$

Unfurturably, it is rarely the case that de is square-free.

$$\frac{5.3}{5} \frac{2}{2} \frac{2}{5} \frac{2}{5} \frac{1}{5} \frac{$$