

## §23 Characters

Let  $Z$  be the center of the universal enveloping algebra  $U(\mathfrak{L})$  (center as an algebra). Consider the highest weight module  $Z(\lambda)$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{H}^*$ . Let  $v^+ \in Z(\lambda)$  be a maximal vector.

Claim: For any  $z \in Z$  the element  $zv^+ \in Z(\lambda)$  is also a maximal vector.

Proof: If  $h \in \mathfrak{H}^*$ , then  $h(zv^+) = (hz)v^+ = (zh)v^+ = z(hv^+) = z\lambda(h)v^+ = \lambda(h)(zv^+)$

If  $n \in \mathfrak{N}$ , then  $n(zv^+) = (nz)v^+ = (zn)v^+ = z(nv^+) = 0$ .

□

Since the space of maximal vectors is one-dimensional,  $zv^+$  must be a scalar multiple of  $v^+$ , so  $zv^+ = \alpha_\lambda(z)v^+$  for some  $\alpha_\lambda(z) \in \mathbb{C}$ .

This yields a map  $\alpha_\lambda: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , the central character of  $Z(\lambda)$ . It is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra morphism. The set of all vectors in  $Z(\lambda)$  on which  $z$  acts by  $\alpha_\lambda(z)$  is  $U(\mathfrak{L})$ -stable since  $z$  commutes with  $U(\mathfrak{L})$ . It contains  $v^+$ , hence must be all of  $Z(\lambda)$  already, i.e.  $z$  acts by  $\alpha_\lambda(z)$  on all of  $Z(\lambda)$ . It follows that  $z$  acts by  $\alpha_\lambda(z)$  on any submodule and quotient of  $Z(\lambda)$ . In particular, if  $V(\mu)$  is a constituent of  $Z(\lambda)$ , i.e. is a simple quotient in a composition series of  $Z(\lambda)$ , then  $\alpha_\lambda = \alpha_\mu$ . (converse needs something stronger).

Question: When is  $\alpha_\lambda = \alpha_\mu$ ? Is there a combinatorial description?

Yes! Recall the Weyl group  $W = \langle \sigma_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Phi \rangle \subset GL(\mathfrak{H}^*)$ . So,  $W$  acts on  $\mathfrak{H}^*$ . We define another action of  $W$  on  $\mathfrak{H}^*$ , the dot action, as follows:

$$w \cdot \lambda := w(\lambda + \delta) - \delta, \quad \delta := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \alpha.$$

Say that  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{H}^*$  are linked if they lie in the same  $W$ -orbit wrt the dot-action.

Claim: If  $\lambda, \mu$  are linked, then  $\alpha_\lambda = \alpha_\mu$ .

Proof: We assume  $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ ; the general case  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{H}^*$  follows from Zariski density of  $\Lambda$  in  $\mathfrak{H}^*$ . It is enough to show this in the case  $\mu = \sigma_\alpha \cdot \lambda = \sigma_\alpha(\lambda + \delta) - \delta$  for  $\alpha \in \Delta$ . We have  $\sigma_\alpha \delta - \delta = -\alpha$ . Hence,

$$\mu = \sigma_\alpha(\lambda + \delta) - \delta = \sigma_\alpha \lambda + \sigma_\alpha \delta - \delta = \sigma_\alpha \lambda - \alpha = \lambda - (\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle + 1)\alpha.$$

Since  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , we have  $m := \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Thinking a bit how  $U(\mathfrak{L})$  acts on powers of  $y_\alpha$  you can see:

- if  $m$  is non-negative, then the image of  $y_\alpha^{m+1}$  in  $Z(\lambda)$  is a maximal vector of weight  $\lambda - (m+1)\alpha = \mu$ . Hence,  $Z(\mu) \subset Z(\lambda)$ , so  $\alpha_\lambda = \alpha_\mu$ .
- if  $m$  is negative, then  $\langle \mu, \alpha \rangle = \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle - 2(\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle + 1) = -\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle - 2$  is non-negative (except for if  $\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle = -1$ , but then  $\mu = \lambda$  anyways) and by same argument  $Z(\lambda) \subset Z(\mu)$ , so  $\alpha_\lambda = \alpha_\mu$ .

□

In fact, the converse also holds. This requires more work and a better understanding of the centers (Harish-Chandra).

Define a linear map  $\xi: U(\mathfrak{L}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{H})$  as follows. By PBW,  $U(\mathfrak{L})$  has a vector space based formed by the elements

$$\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} y_\alpha^{i_\alpha} \cdot \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} h_\alpha^{k_\alpha} \cdot \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} x_\alpha^{j_\alpha}$$

general case not so important

Now map basis monomials in the  $\mathfrak{h}_\alpha$  to itself and kill all other basis vectors. This is our linear map  $\xi: \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{L}) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{H})$ . Now, think about how a basis monomial as above acts on a maximal vector  $v^+ \in \mathbb{Z}(\lambda)$ . It's killed by the  $y_\alpha$ ; the  $\mathfrak{h}_\alpha$  act via  $\alpha_\lambda$ , and the  $x_\alpha$  map to lower weight vectors. From this you deduce that

$$\alpha_\lambda(z) = \lambda(\xi(z)), \quad z \in \mathbb{Z}$$

From this in turn you deduce that  $\xi: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{H})$  is an algebra morphism (Harish-Chandra morphism)

Note that  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{H}) \simeq \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{H}) \simeq \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}_\alpha | \alpha \in \Delta]$  as algebras since  $\mathfrak{H}$  is abelian.

Let us take into account the  $\rho$ -shift involved in the dot action by composing  $\xi$  with the automorphism  $\eta: \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{H}) \rightarrow \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{H})$  mapping  $p$  to  $p(\lambda - \delta)$ . Denote the resulting algebra morphism  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{H})$  by  $\psi$  and call it twisted Harish-Chandra morphism.

Then

$$\alpha_\lambda(z) = \lambda \circ \xi(z) = (\lambda \circ \eta^{-1} \circ \psi)(z) = (\lambda \circ \eta^{-1})(\psi(z)) = (\lambda + \delta)(\psi(z))$$

Suppose that  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are linked, i.e.  $\mu = w \cdot \lambda$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_\lambda(z) = \alpha_\mu(z) &\Rightarrow (\lambda + \delta)(\psi(z)) = (\mu + \delta)(\psi(z)) = (w \cdot \lambda + \delta)(\psi(z)) \\ &= (w(\lambda + \delta) - \delta + \delta)(\psi(z)) = w(\lambda + \delta)(\psi(z)) \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow w(\lambda + \delta)$  takes the same value at  $\psi(z) \forall w \in W$

$\Rightarrow \lambda + \delta$  takes the same value at  $w\psi(z) \forall w \in W$

This is true for all  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{H}^*$   $\Rightarrow w\psi(z)$  must be the same for all  $w \in W$

$\Rightarrow \psi(z)$  is fixed by  $W$

$\Rightarrow \psi(z) \in \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{H})^W$ .

So, the twisted HC morphism is a morphism

$$\psi: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{H})^W.$$

What we wanted to show is that  $\alpha_\lambda = \alpha_\mu \Rightarrow \lambda, \mu$  linked. This is of course equivalent to  $\lambda, \mu$  not linked  $\Rightarrow \alpha_\lambda \neq \alpha_\mu$ .

An elementary argument shows: if  $\lambda, \mu$  not linked  $\Rightarrow \lambda, \mu$  take distinct values at some element of  $\text{Sym}(\mathfrak{H})^W$ .

So, if we could show that  $\psi(\mathbb{Z}) = \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{H})^W$ , then there is  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\lambda(\psi(z)) \neq \mu(\psi(z))$   
 $\Rightarrow (\lambda + \delta)(\psi(z)) \neq (\mu + \delta)(\psi(z)) \Rightarrow \alpha_\lambda(z) \neq \alpha_\mu(z) \Rightarrow \alpha_\lambda \neq \alpha_\mu$ .

Theorem of HC is now basically about showing that  $\psi(\mathbb{Z}) = \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{H})^W$ .

One can furthermore show that  $\psi$  is injective, so  $\mathbb{Z} \simeq \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{H})^W$ .