

Cellularity of endomorphism algebras of tilting objects

Ulrich Thiel

University Kaiserslautern-Landau (RPTU)

<https://ulthiel.com/math>

Joint work with Gwyn Bellamy

Jul 17, 2023. Spetses (!)



Overview

History

1. Graham, J. J. & Lehrer, G. I. (1996). Cellular algebras. *Invent. Math.*, 123(1), 1–34.
2. Du, J. & Rui, H. (1998). Based algebras and standard bases for quasi-hereditary algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 350(8), 3207–3235.
3. Andersen, H. H., Lehrer, G. I., & Zhang, R. (2015). Cellularity of certain quantum endomorphism algebras. *Pacific J. Math.*, 279(1–2), 11–35.
4. Andersen, H. H., Stroppel, C., & Tubbenhauer, D. (2018). Cellular structures using U_q -tilting modules. *Pacific J. Math.*, 292(1), 21–59.
5. Bellamy, G. & Thiel, U. (2022). Cellularity of endomorphism algebras of tilting objects. *Adv. Math.* 404, Paper No. 108387.

The key picture

The following picture illustrates how Andersen, Stroppel, and Tubbenhauer constructed their cellular bases:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \Delta(\lambda) & & \\ & & \downarrow i^\lambda & \searrow g & \\ T & \xrightarrow{\hat{f}} & T(\lambda) & \xrightarrow{\hat{g}} & T \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow \pi^\lambda & & \\ & & \nabla(\lambda) & & \end{array}$$

T : a tilting module for a quantum group U_q

$T(\lambda)$: the indecomposable tilting U_q -module at λ

$\Delta(\lambda)$ and $\nabla(\lambda)$: the standard and costandard modules at λ

What we proved

We extended the AST construction from tilting modules for quantum groups to a general categorical setting.

Let \mathcal{C} be a **standard category** (e.g. a highest weight category with finitely many simples).

Theorem

For any tilting object $T \in \mathcal{C}$ one can construct a **standard basis** on the algebra $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(T)$ as in the picture.

Theorem

If \mathcal{C} is equipped with a **standard duality** \mathbb{D} , then the construction can be done in such a way that the resulting basis is **cellular** with respect to the anti-involution on $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(T)$ induced by \mathbb{D} . In particular, $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(T)$ is a cellular algebra.

Implications

Theorem

The Hecke algebra associated to a complex reflection group (à la Broué, Malle, and Rouquier) admits a natural standard basis. For a finite Coxeter group, there is a cellular basis.

In particular, this reproves (over the complex numbers only, but *not* assuming Lusztig's P1–P15): Geck, M. (2007). Hecke algebras of finite type are cellular. *Invent. Math.*, 169(3), 501–517.

Fundamental question about the nature of cellular algebras

Is every cellular algebra the endomorphism algebra of a tilting object?

This may finally shed a categorical light on cellularity.

Cellular algebras

Standard bases (à la Du–Rui)

Throughout: E is a finite-dimensional algebra over a field K .

Definition

A **standard basis** of E is a K -basis \mathcal{B} of E which is fibered over a poset Λ , i.e., $\mathcal{B} = \coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{B}^\lambda$, together with indexing sets \mathcal{I}^λ and \mathcal{J}^λ for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that

$$\mathcal{B}^\lambda = \{c_{ij}^\lambda \mid (i, j) \in \mathcal{I}^\lambda \times \mathcal{J}^\lambda\},$$

and for any $\varphi \in E$ and $c_{ij}^\lambda \in \mathcal{B}^\lambda$ we have

$$\varphi \cdot c_{ij}^\lambda \equiv \sum_{k \in \mathcal{I}^\lambda} r_k^\lambda(\varphi, i) c_{kj}^\lambda \pmod{E^{<\lambda}},$$

$$c_{ij}^\lambda \cdot \varphi \equiv \sum_{l \in \mathcal{J}^\lambda} r_l^\lambda(j, \varphi) c_{il}^\lambda \pmod{E^{<\lambda}},$$

where $r_k^\lambda(\varphi, i), r_l^\lambda(j, \varphi) \in K$ are independent of j and i , respectively. Here, $E^{<\lambda}$ is the subspace of E spanned by the set $\bigcup_{\mu < \lambda} \mathcal{B}^\mu$.

Cellular bases (à la Graham–Lehrer)

Definition

A **cellular basis** of E is a standard basis \mathcal{B} together with an algebra anti-involution ι on E such that $\mathcal{I}^\lambda = \mathcal{J}^\lambda$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and

$$\iota(c_{ij}^\lambda) = c_{ji}^\lambda$$

for all $(i, j) \in \mathcal{I}^\lambda \times \mathcal{J}^\lambda$.

Remarks

1. A standard/cellular basis is a **structure** on E .
2. While admitting a cellular basis is a **restrictive property** on algebras (e.g. the Cartan determinant must be positive), admitting a standard basis is **not** (Koenig–Xi).
3. Nonetheless, a standard basis leads to **Specht modules**

$$W(\lambda) := K \cdot \{a_i^\lambda \mid i \in \mathcal{I}^\lambda\}, \quad \varphi \cdot a_i^\lambda := \sum_{k \in \mathcal{I}^\lambda} r_k^\lambda(\varphi, i) a_k^\lambda,$$

and they may involve **interesting combinatorics**.

Examples of cellular algebras

1. Matrix algebras: $\iota = -^t$, $\Lambda := \{\star\}$, $\mathcal{I}^\star := \mathcal{J}^\star := \{1, \dots, n\}$, $c_{ij}^\star := E_{ij}$:

$$E_{kl}E_{ij} = \delta_{lj}E_{kj}.$$

2. Group algebra of a symmetric group, Hecke algebras of type A

3. Temperley–Lieb algebras, Brauer algebras

4. ...

Observation (Andersen–Stroppel–Tubbenhauer)

All these examples arise as $\text{End}_{U_q\text{-mod}}(T)$ for a tilting module T and U_q a quantum group.

Their construction usually yields **different** cellular bases than the usual ones though (the unit 1 is *not* a basis element).

Problems of generalizing the AST construction

The AST construction should basically work for tilting objects in any highest weight category. But there are some subtleties:

1. The proof relies on **weight space decomposition** of U_q -modules.
2. Tilting modules need to behave as in **Ringel's theory**.
3. The construction also works with U_q -mod in positive characteristic: **not enough injectives**, hence not highest weight.
4. Where does the involution come from? Likely from a **duality** on the category. But an arbitrary duality does not necessarily induce an involution on the endomorphism algebra!

Standard categories

Abelian + ordered simple objects

We require categories with standard, costandard, and tilting objects behaving in the desired way.

We came up with the concept of **standard categories**.

(SC1) \mathcal{C} is an **essentially small** and **locally finite abelian** category over a field K .

(Locally finite: all objects are of finite length and all Hom-spaces are finite-dimensional.)

(SC2) There is a complete set $\{L(\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of representatives of isomorphism classes of **simple objects** of \mathcal{C} indexed by a set Λ equipped with a **partial order** \leq .

Standard and costandard objects

Definition

A **costandard object** for $L(\lambda)$ is an object $\nabla(\lambda)$ such that $\text{Soc } \nabla(\lambda) \simeq L(\lambda)$ and all composition factors $L(\mu)$ of $\nabla(\lambda)/\text{Soc } \nabla(\lambda)$ satisfy $\mu < \lambda$.

A **standard object** for $L(\lambda)$ is an object $\Delta(\lambda)$ such that $\text{Hd } \Delta(\lambda) \simeq L(\lambda)$ and all composition factors $L(\mu)$ of $\text{Rad } \Delta(\lambda)$ satisfy $\mu < \lambda$.

(SC3) Each $L(\lambda)$ has a costandard object $\nabla(\lambda)$ and a standard object $\Delta(\lambda)$ such that the following condition holds for all $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ and $0 \leq i \leq 2$:

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^i(\Delta(\lambda), \nabla(\mu)) = \begin{cases} K & \text{if } i = 0 \text{ and } \lambda = \mu, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

(We do not need to have enough injectives for this! The Ext-groups are isomorphic to the ones in the Ind-completion of \mathcal{C} , and the latter behave as usual.)

Tilting objects

Definition

An object $T \in \mathcal{C}$ is **tilting** if it admits both a filtration whose quotients are standard objects and a filtration whose quotients are costandard objects.

The category \mathcal{C}^t of tilting objects is a Krull–Schmidt category.

(SC4) For any $\lambda \in \Lambda$ there is an indecomposable object $T(\lambda) \in \mathcal{C}^t$ such that:

1. if $[T(\lambda) : L(\mu)] \neq 0$, then $\mu \leq \lambda$, and $[T(\lambda) : L(\lambda)] = 1$;
2. there is a monomorphism $\Delta(\lambda) \hookrightarrow T(\lambda)$;
3. there is an epimorphism $T(\lambda) \twoheadrightarrow \nabla(\lambda)$.

Moreover, the map $\lambda \mapsto T(\lambda)$ is a bijection between Λ and the set of isomorphism classes of indecomposable tilting objects of \mathcal{C} .

A **standard category** is a category satisfying SC1–SC4.

Examples

The following are examples of standard categories:

1. Highest weight categories with finitely many simple objects.
2. Lower finite highest weight categories à la Brundan–Stroppel, e.g. $\text{Rep}(G)$ for a connected reductive group G .
3. The Bernstein–Gelfand–Gelfand category \mathcal{O} of a finite-dimensional complex semisimple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} .
4. The category U_q -mod of finite-dimensional type-1 modules for a quantum group U_q associated to a finite-dimensional complex semisimple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and $q \in K$ (+some mild assumptions).
5. ...

(Generalizing) the AST construction

Inclusions and projections

Let \mathcal{C} be a standard category.

For any $\lambda \in \Lambda$ choose a non-zero morphism

$$c^\lambda: \Delta(\lambda) \rightarrow \nabla(\lambda) .$$

This is unique up to scalars by the Ext-assumption.

Choose an embedding

$$i^\lambda: \Delta(\lambda) \hookrightarrow T(\lambda)$$

and a projection

$$\pi^\lambda: T(\lambda) \twoheadrightarrow \nabla(\lambda)$$

such that

$$\pi^\lambda \circ i^\lambda = c^\lambda .$$

Lifts

Let $T \in \mathcal{C}$ be a tilting object.

Any morphism $f: T \rightarrow \nabla(\lambda)$ has a **lift** $\hat{f}: T \rightarrow T(\lambda)$, i.e.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T & \xrightarrow{\hat{f}} & T(\lambda) \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow \pi^\lambda \\ & & \nabla(\lambda) \end{array}$$

commutes.

Similarly, any morphism $g: \Delta(\lambda) \rightarrow T$ has a **lift** $\hat{g}: T(\lambda) \rightarrow T$, i.e.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T(\lambda) & \xrightarrow{\hat{g}} & T \\ i^\lambda \uparrow & \nearrow g & \\ \Delta(\lambda) & & \end{array}$$

commutes.

Putting things together

Let $\mathcal{I}_T^\lambda := \{ 1, \dots, (T : \nabla(\lambda)) \}$ and $\mathcal{J}_T^\lambda := \{ 1, \dots, (T : \Delta(\lambda)) \}$.

Choose a basis $F_T^\lambda = \{ f_j^\lambda \mid j \in \mathcal{J}_T^\lambda \}$ of $\text{Hom}_C(T, \nabla(\lambda))$.

Choose lifts $\hat{F}_T^\lambda := \{ \hat{f}_j^\lambda \mid j \in \mathcal{J}_T^\lambda \} \subseteq \text{Hom}_C(T, T(\lambda))$.

Choose a basis $G_T^\lambda = \{ g_i^\lambda \mid i \in \mathcal{I}_T^\lambda \}$ of $\text{Hom}_C(\Delta(\lambda), T)$.

Choose lifts $\hat{G}_T^\lambda := \{ \hat{g}_i^\lambda \mid i \in \mathcal{I}_T^\lambda \} \subseteq \text{Hom}_C(T(\lambda), T)$.

Let

$$c_{ij}^\lambda := \hat{g}_i^\lambda \circ \hat{f}_j^\lambda \in \text{End}_C(T).$$

We have

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \Delta(\lambda) & & \\ & & \downarrow i^\lambda & \searrow g_i^\lambda & \\ T & \xrightarrow{\hat{f}_j^\lambda} & T(\lambda) & \xrightarrow{\hat{g}_i^\lambda} & T \\ & \searrow f_j^\lambda & \downarrow \pi^\lambda & & \\ & & \nabla(\lambda) & & \end{array}$$

Standard bases

Theorem (Bellamy–T.)

$\{c_{ij}^\lambda \mid i \in \mathcal{I}_T^\lambda, j \in \mathcal{J}_T^\lambda\}$ is a **standard basis** of $E_T := \text{End}_C(T)$.

The key problem is showing that $\{c_{ij}^\lambda\}$ is a basis of E_T .

This is where AST use **restrictions** φ_λ of $\varphi \in E_T$ to **weight spaces** T_λ of T to obtain a filtration $E_T^{\leq \lambda}$ of E_T , which is then used to prove this.

We replaced:

$$\varphi_\lambda := [\text{Im } \varphi : L(\lambda)] \in \mathbb{N}$$

and

$$E_T^{\leq \lambda} := \{\varphi \in E_T \mid \varphi_\mu = 0 \text{ unless } \mu \leq \lambda\}.$$

With this replacement, the proof of AST works verbatim (this is not obvious, though).

Duality and cellularity

A problem

An involution on E_T making a standard basis a cellular basis should (philosophically) come from a **duality** $\mathbb{D}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$.

Let $T \in \mathcal{C}$ be a tilting object which is **self-dual**, i.e. there is

$$\Phi_T: \mathbb{D}(T) \xrightarrow{\simeq} T .$$

Define a K -algebra anti-morphism $\alpha_T^{-1}: E_T \rightarrow E_T$ by

$$\alpha_T^{-1}(\varphi) := \Phi_T \circ \mathbb{D}(\varphi) \circ \Phi_T^{-1} .$$

One computes:

$$\alpha_T^{-2}(\varphi) = a_T \circ \varphi \circ a_T^{-1} ,$$

where

$$a_T := \Phi_T \circ \mathbb{D}(\Phi_T^{-1}) \circ \xi_T \in E_T^\times , \quad \xi: \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbb{D}^2 .$$

Conclusion

1. α^{-1} is an anti-isomorphism.
2. There is no reason why $\alpha^{-2} = \text{id}$!

Standard dualities

Definition

(T, Φ_T) is a **fixed point** of \mathbb{D} if α_T is an involution, i.e.

$$\Phi_T \circ \mathbb{D}(\Phi^{-1}) \circ \xi_T = \text{id}_T .$$

Definition

\mathbb{D} is a **standard duality** if it exchanges standard and costandard objects, i.e. $\mathbb{D}(\nabla(\lambda)) \simeq \Delta(\lambda)$, and all indecomposable tilting objects are fixed points of \mathbb{D} .

Let \mathbb{D} be a standard duality and $T \in \mathcal{C}$ be a tilting object. Then α_T is an involution on E_T . Choose \hat{g}_i^λ as before and define its **mirror**

$$\hat{f}_i^\lambda := \Phi_{T(\lambda)} \circ \mathbb{D}(\hat{g}_i^\lambda) \circ \Phi_T^{-1}: T \rightarrow T(\lambda)$$

Let $c_{ij}^\lambda := \hat{g}_i^\lambda \circ \hat{f}_j^\lambda$ for $i, j \in \mathcal{I}_T^\lambda$.

Theorem (Bellamy–T.)

$\{c_{ij}^\lambda \mid i, j \in \mathcal{I}_T^\lambda\}$ is a **cellular basis** with respect to α_T .

Module dualities

How to check if a duality is a standard duality?

Let A be a K -algebra and suppose \mathcal{C} is a subcategory of $A\text{-Mod}$.

Let τ be an anti-involution on A and consider

$$\mathbb{D} := (-)^\tau \circ (-)^\vee : A\text{-Mod} \rightarrow A\text{-Mod} ,$$

where $(-)^\tau$ is twist and $(-)^\vee$ is a subfunctor of $(-)^* = \text{Hom}_K(-, K)$.

Suppose \mathbb{D} restricts to \mathcal{C} . We call this a **module duality**.

Lemma (roughly)

An object T being self-dual under \mathbb{D} is related to the existence of an **associative non-degenerate bilinear form** on T , and being a fixed point is related to the existence of a **symmetric** such form.

Idea: To show that a self-dual object T is actually a fixed point, take the **symmetrization** of the form induced by $\mathbb{D}(T) \simeq T$, and prove it is non-degenerate.

Application: standard and cellular bases on Hecke algebras

Standard bases

Let W be a (finite) complex reflection group and let $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{q}}$ be the Hecke algebra (à la Broué, Malle, and Rouquier) of W for an arbitrary parameter \mathbf{q} .

Let $H_{\mathbf{c}}$ be the rational Cherednik algebra (à la Etingof and Ginzburg) of W at a “logarithm” \mathbf{c} of \mathbf{q} .

There is a category $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{c}}$ of $H_{\mathbf{c}}$ -modules which is a highest weight category with simple objects indexed by $\text{Irr}(W)$. In particular, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{c}}$ is a standard category.

By Ginzburg, Guay, Opdam, and Rouquier there is a tilting object $T_{\mathbf{c}} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{c}}$ such that

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{q}} \simeq \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{c}}}(T_{\mathbf{c}}) .$$

Theorem (Bellamy–T.)

$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{q}}$ has a **standard basis** coming from the decomposition of $T_{\mathbf{c}}$ into indecomposable tilting objects.

Cellular bases

Suppose that W is a (finite) Coxeter group. Then $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{c}}$ is equipped with a module duality \mathbb{D} .

Theorem (Bellamy–T.)

\mathbb{D} is a standard duality. In particular, $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{q}}$ has a **cellular basis**.

Problem

Describe the bases and the Specht modules explicitly.

We know that our “co-Specht modules” are isomorphic to the Specht modules of:

Chlouveraki, M., Gordon, I., & Griffeth, S. (2012). Cell modules and canonical basic sets for Hecke algebras from Cherednik algebras.

Furthermore, if W is a Coxeter group and we assume Lusztig’s P1–P15, then they are isomorphic to Geck’s Specht modules.